

Republican Ticket.

FOR PRESIDENT.

ABRAHAM LINCOLN,

OF ILLINOIS.

FOR VICE-PRESIDENT.

HANNIBAL HAMLIN,

OF MAINE.

SUPREME JUDGE.

Jacob Brinkerhoff,

OF RICHMOND COUNTY.

ATTORNEY GENERAL.

James Murray,

OF WOOD COUNTY.

MEMBER OF BOARD PUBLIC WORKS.

Levi Sargent,

OF TOWNEVILLE.

FOR CONGRESS, 11th DISTRICT.

Valentine B. Horton,

OF NEW YORK.

President Electors for the State at Large.

FRED. HASSAURECK,

OF HAMILTON.

JOSEPH M. ROOT,

OF SINGERSVILLE.

CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS.

1st Dist.—B. Eggleston.

2d — William M. Dickson.

3d — Frank McViney.

4th — John Riley Knox.

5th — D. W. H. Howard.

6th — D. H. Murphy.

7th — Nelson Kish.

8th — John F. Hinkle.

9th — H. S. Bandy.

10th — Daniel B. Stewart.

11th — R. P. L. Baber.

12th — John Beatty.

13th — Willard Slocum.

14th — Joseph Anker.

15th — Edward Ball.

16th — John A. Davenport.

17th — Samuel B. Philbrick.

18th — Norman K. Mackenzie.

County Ticket.

Auditor—MILTON D. BAKER.

County Clerk—VALENTINE CUPP.

Post Office—R. M. CLARKE.

Sherriff—C. M. L. WISEMAN.

Prothonotary—SAMUEL JACKSON.

County Judge—JAMES PICKERING.

Coroner—JOHN GREIN.

Surveyor—SALEM WOLF.

Recorder—JOHN W. FLOOD.

Inspector—GEORGE W. EDGAR.

Director—G. S. HAMMOCK.

C. D. MARTIN,

THE ELOQUENT "WHITE HEADED BOY."

HIS SPEECH IN CONGRESS.

It will be remembered that many Republicans out of personal consideration, voted for C. D. Martin when a candidate for the place he now occupies. While in Congress he made one speech—not upon any of the many measures of national moment that presented themselves—but a political harangue, abusive in the extreme, wherein he resorted to ad libitum and blow into impudence the "John Brown raid," five months after it had occurred—been talked up and forgotten by every half-witted school boy in the country. We desire to give a few samples of this wonderful speech.

Mr. Martin said:

Mr. Chairman: I propose this morning to submit to the attention of the committee a few observations on the anomalous condition of the country. On the one side we behold our fellow citizens and neighbors of the South arming themselves for self-defense, against their brethren and fellow-citizens of the North. They contemplate with complacency the uncertainties and calamities of disunion as a refuge from existing and impending evils in the Union.

Is it possible that Mr. Martin's stomach could contain that much Southern dirt,—our fellow citizens and neighbors of the South arming themselves in self-defense, and "contemplating with complacency" a dissolution of the Union, "as a remedy for existing evils,"—that is black Republicanism. The existing evils that to the opinion of Mr. Martin, justified the South in arming herself in self-defense, and contemplating with complacency a dissolution of the Union, were, 1st, the organization of the Republican party in the North, and 2d, the election of John Sherman of Ohio, Speaker of the House. The war of "self-defense" they were waging consisted in the hurling of "liver disunion" upon the Union, threats of disruption, civil war and blood; the lapping of low, dirty blackguardism upon their brethren—efforts to extend and nationalize human slavery. It consisted in their turning the Lower House of Congress into a pot-house of turmoil confusion, and blackguardism. It consisted in threats to "read the Union from turret to foundation stone" if John Sherman should be elected Speaker. In declarations that "if Fremont is elected the South will be free from the strong arm of Southern freedom upon the treasury and archives of the government."

"That if a black Republican President is elected we will never submit to his inauguration."

"That the election of Lincoln and Hamlin will require the prompt secession of the Southern States."

It consists in the adoption of non-intercourse resolutions by the South—in conventions to form Southern confederacies, and a thousand other reasonable things, of all of which Mr. Martin was well aware.

This is the warfare of self-defense the South were waging, and the above the grievances that caused them to "look with

White Men Hear it in Mind.

Those who consider themselves better than a negro will vote the Democratic ticket, and those who regard a negro their equal, will vote the Republican ticket.

O' Eagle, Sept. 13.

We know of nothing more awful, low and vulgar than this incessant cry of "negro equality." It is fair to presume that the persons who so greatly exercised on that theme are suffering in social position from competition with negroes. It is the most natural inference in the world that the editors of the Ohio Eagle are some in danger of changing places with their colored neighbors. It is not probable that they require additional legislation to counteract a movement in nature and society likely to elevate the negro at their expense. And we cannot say they are far wrong. For if anything is a free State, a civilized country and an enlightened age, is thoroughly debasing and degrading, it is the habitual defense of slavery on the part of one whose every interest is on the side of freedom and free labor.

But this is not all. This frivolous and truckling apology for the South is but a tribute of the great merit of Mr. Martin's Speech. In the same breath that blows forth the staff we have quoted is contained a downright insult to the North, to a large portion of Mr. Martin's constituents. Here it is:

"On the other side we behold the free and prosperous people of the North arrayed by large majorities in sectional combinations against the people of the South; and in many localities in the non-slaveholding States associations of men are formed and maintained in contemptuous defiance of the Federal authority."

This is beautiful. The "people of the North arrayed by large majorities," "in contemptuous defiance of Federal authority." This slander upon the freedom of the North; this slur upon the Republicans of the 11th district; this bending, and bowing, and scraping in disgraceful servility to the South, needs no comment except that the gentleman who originated it is now before this people for re-election—seeking to again represent the people of the north who are "arrayed in large majorities against the South, in contemptuous defiance of Federal authority."

We will give one other extract from Mr. Martin's speech. Speaking of the John Brown raid he said:

"Those marauders were doubtless encouraged by the unparliamentary teachings of the leaders of the self-styled Republican party. For that party has notoriously and unflinchingly employed every epithet of disparagement, and every form of misrepresentation of the people and institutions of slaveholding States. Its orators and press have been too successful in their efforts to incense the great mass of the party with bitter hostility toward their confederate brethren of the South. And, what is often has religious fanaticism been invoked and the sacred office of religion in a manner still, and dissonant under the wholesome restraint of existing laws."

We have here only to thank the "religious fanatics" who have "debased their sacred offices" by acting with the Republican party and opposing slavery extension, to vote for Mr. Martin.

MARTIN for White Slavery and Polygamy!—The Eagle Admits and Justifies it!

On the 1st of September Hon. John Sherman, in his speech at the Court-house in this city, said in effect that:

In the Territory of New Mexico, there was, when we acquired it, and is now, a law SANCTIONING WHITE SLAVE

RY OR SERFDOM—a law by which WHITE MEN AND WOMEN ARE BOUGHT AND SOLD LIKE HORSES

AND CATTLE—by which white men and women may be sold into SLAVERY FOR

DEBT—a law authorizing the master to INFLICT PUNISHMENT upon his

WHITE SERFS, and denying the Slave a hearing in the courts for redress of grievances.

This odious and inhuman barbarism was sought to be swept away by the Republicans of the last Congress and MARTIN VOTED AGAINST THE LAW INTRODUCED TO ACCOMPLISH THAT

END, thus indirectly sanctioning WHITE SLAVERY.

Mr. Sherman also said that:

During the last session of Congress a "Bill" was introduced by the Republicans to ABOLISH POLYGAMY IN

UTAH. MR. MARTIN VOTED AGAINST THE BILL, thus virtually

sanctioning that infamous and debasing institution, revolting as it is to humanity, shocking as it is to decency, corrupting as it is to morality, and polluting, as it does, the very foundations of human society.

The Ohio Eagle of last week referring to Mr. Sherman's remarks said:

"Mr. Sherman could say nothing against Mr. Martin, except that he voted against a Bill proposing to repeal certain enactments of the Territorial Legislature of New Mexico and Utah. IN THIS

MR. MARTIN IS FULLY ENDORSED BY HIS CONSTITUENTS."

It is possible that the Democracy of the 11th District are in favor of these infamous and inhuman barbarisms, WHITE SLAVERY AND POLYGAMY? Is it possible that in this day of civil liberty, men can be found who justify White Slavery—the buying and selling of white men and women? Is it possible that in this age of moral, social and religious advancement men can be found who justify polygamy?

Capture of Gen. Walker by the British—Walker to be Shot.

New Orleans, Sept. 17.—Two Spanish war steamers Francisco D'Assis arrived at Havana from Truxillo on the 7th inst., and reports that the British steamer "Leurus," with a transport and troops under Gen. Alvarez, proceeded to the Rio Negro, where Walker's army was encamped. The boats of the "Leurus" proceeded up the river and captured Walker, who with his seventy men were all brought to Truxillo and delivered to the Honduran authorities. They were permitted to return to the United States upon condition that they would never engage in another expedition against Central America.

Walker and Col. Radler were to be shot.

The Guatemala expedition arrived after the capture of the expedition.

White Men Hear it in Mind.

Those who consider themselves better than a negro will vote the Democratic ticket, and those who regard a negro their equal, will vote the Republican ticket.

O' Eagle, Sept. 13.

We know of nothing more awful, low and vulgar than this incessant cry of "negro equality." It is fair to presume that the persons who so greatly exercised on that theme are suffering in social position from competition with negroes. It is the most natural inference in the world that the editors of the Ohio Eagle are some in danger of changing places with their colored neighbors. It is not probable that they require additional legislation to counteract a movement in nature and society likely to elevate the negro at their expense. And we cannot say they are far wrong. For if anything is a free State, a civilized country and an enlightened age, is thoroughly debasing and degrading, it is the habitual defense of slavery on the part of one whose every interest is on the side of freedom and free labor.

But this is not all. This frivolous and truckling apology for the South is but a tribute of the great merit of Mr. Martin's Speech. In the same breath that blows forth the staff we have quoted is contained a downright insult to the North, to a large portion of Mr. Martin's constituents. Here it is:

"On the other side we behold the free and prosperous people of the North arrayed by large majorities in sectional combinations against the people of the South; and in many localities in the non-slaveholding States associations of men are formed and maintained in contemptuous defiance of the Federal authority."

This is beautiful. The "people of the North arrayed by large majorities," "in contemptuous defiance of Federal authority." This slander upon the freedom of the North; this slur upon the Republicans of the 11th district; this bending, and bowing, and scraping in disgraceful servility to the South, needs no comment except that the gentleman who originated it is now before this people for re-election—seeking to again represent the people of the north who are "arrayed in large majorities against the South, in contemptuous defiance of Federal authority."

We will give one other extract from Mr. Martin's speech. Speaking of the John Brown raid he said:

"Those marauders were doubtless encouraged by the unparliamentary teachings of the leaders of the self-styled Republican party. For that party has notoriously and unflinchingly employed every epithet of disparagement, and every form of misrepresentation of the people and institutions of slaveholding States. Its orators and press have been too successful in their efforts to incense the great mass of the party with bitter hostility toward their confederate brethren of the South. And, what is often has religious fanaticism been invoked and the sacred office of religion in a manner still, and dissonant under the wholesome restraint of existing laws."

We have here only to thank the "religious fanatics" who have "debased their sacred offices" by acting with the Republican party and opposing slavery extension, to vote for Mr. Martin.

MARTIN for White Slavery and Polygamy!—The Eagle Admits and Justifies it!

On the 1st of September Hon. John Sherman, in his speech at the Court-house in this city, said in effect that:

In the Territory of New Mexico, there was, when we acquired it, and is now, a law SANCTIONING WHITE SLAVE

RY OR SERFDOM—a law by which WHITE MEN AND WOMEN ARE BOUGHT AND SOLD LIKE HORSES

AND CATTLE—by which white men and women may be sold into SLAVERY FOR

DEBT—a law authorizing the master to INFLICT PUNISHMENT upon his

WHITE SERFS, and denying the Slave a hearing in the courts for redress of grievances.

This odious and inhuman barbarism was sought to be swept away by the Republicans of the last Congress and MARTIN VOTED AGAINST THE LAW INTRODUCED TO ACCOMPLISH THAT

END, thus indirectly sanctioning WHITE SLAVERY.

Mr. Sherman also said that:

During the last session of Congress a "Bill" was introduced by the Republicans to ABOLISH POLYGAMY IN

UTAH. MR. MARTIN VOTED AGAINST THE BILL, thus virtually

sanctioning that infamous and debasing institution, revolting as it is to humanity, shocking as it is to decency, corrupting as it is to morality, and polluting, as it does, the very foundations of human society.

The Ohio Eagle of last week referring to Mr. Sherman's remarks said:

"Mr. Sherman could say nothing against Mr. Martin, except that he voted against a Bill proposing to repeal certain enactments of the Territorial Legislature of New Mexico and Utah. IN THIS

MR. MARTIN IS FULLY ENDORSED BY HIS CONSTITUENTS."

It is possible that the Democracy of the 11th District are in favor of these infamous and inhuman barbarisms, WHITE SLAVERY AND POLYGAMY? Is it possible that in this day of civil liberty, men can be found who justify White Slavery—the buying and selling of white men and women? Is it possible that in this age of moral, social and religious advancement men can be found who justify polygamy?

Capture of Gen. Walker by the British—Walker to be Shot.

New Orleans, Sept. 17.—Two Spanish war steamers Francisco D'Assis arrived at Havana from Truxillo on the 7th inst., and reports that the British steamer "Leurus," with a transport and troops under Gen. Alvarez, proceeded to the Rio Negro, where Walker's army was encamped. The boats of the "Leurus" proceeded up the river and captured Walker, who with his seventy men were all brought to Truxillo and delivered to the Honduran authorities. They were permitted to return to the United States upon condition that they would never engage in another expedition against Central America.

Walker and Col. Radler were to be shot.

The Guatemala expedition arrived after the capture of the expedition.

White Men Hear it in Mind.

Those who consider themselves better than a negro will vote the Democratic ticket, and those who regard a negro their equal, will vote the Republican ticket.

O' Eagle, Sept. 13.

We know of nothing more awful, low and vulgar than this incessant cry of "negro equality." It is fair to presume that the persons who so greatly exercised on that theme are suffering in social position from competition with negroes. It is the most natural inference in the world that the editors of the Ohio Eagle are some in danger of changing places with their colored neighbors. It is not probable that they require additional legislation to counteract a movement in nature and society likely to elevate the negro at their expense. And we cannot say they are far wrong. For if anything is a free State, a civilized country and an enlightened age, is thoroughly debasing and degrading, it is the habitual defense of slavery on the part of one whose every interest is on the side of freedom and free labor.

But this is not all. This frivolous and truckling apology for the South is but a tribute of the great merit of Mr. Martin's Speech. In the same breath that blows forth the staff we have quoted is contained a downright insult to the North, to a large portion of Mr. Martin's constituents. Here it is:

"On the other side we behold the free and prosperous people of the North arrayed by large majorities in sectional combinations against the people of the South; and in many localities in the non-slaveholding States associations of men are formed and maintained in contemptuous defiance of the Federal authority."

This is beautiful. The "people of the North arrayed by large majorities," "in contemptuous defiance of Federal authority." This slander upon the freedom of the North; this slur upon the Republicans of the 11th district; this bending, and bowing, and scraping in disgraceful servility to the South, needs no comment except that the gentleman who originated it is now before this people for re-election—seeking to again represent the people of the north who are "arrayed in large majorities against the South, in contemptuous defiance of Federal authority."

We will give one other extract from Mr. Martin's speech. Speaking of the John Brown raid he said:

"Those marauders were doubtless encouraged by the unparliamentary teachings of the leaders of the self-styled Republican party. For that party has notoriously and unflinchingly employed every epithet of disparagement, and every form of misrepresentation of the people and institutions of slaveholding States. Its orators and press have been too successful in their efforts to incense the great mass of the party with bitter hostility toward their confederate brethren of the South. And, what is often has religious fanaticism been invoked and the sacred office of religion in a manner still, and dissonant under the wholesome restraint of existing laws."

We have here only to thank the "religious fanatics" who have "debased their sacred offices" by acting with the Republican party and opposing slavery extension, to vote for Mr. Martin.

MARTIN for White Slavery and Polygamy!—The Eagle Admits and Justifies it!

On the 1st of September Hon. John Sherman, in his speech at the Court-house in this city, said in effect that:

In the Territory of New Mexico, there was, when we acquired it, and is now, a law SANCTIONING WHITE SLAVE

RY OR SERFDOM—a law by which WHITE MEN AND WOMEN ARE BOUGHT AND SOLD LIKE HORSES

AND CATTLE—by which white men and women may be sold into SLAVERY FOR

DEBT—a law authorizing the master to INFLICT PUNISHMENT upon his

WHITE SERFS, and denying the Slave a hearing in the courts for redress of grievances.

This odious and inhuman barbarism was sought to be swept away by the Republicans of the last Congress and MARTIN VOTED AGAINST THE LAW INTRODUCED TO ACCOMPLISH THAT

END, thus indirectly sanctioning WHITE SLAVERY.

Mr. Sherman also said that:

During the last session of Congress a "Bill" was introduced by the Republicans to ABOLISH POLYGAMY IN

UTAH. MR. MARTIN VOTED AGAINST THE BILL, thus virtually

sanctioning that infamous and debasing institution, revolting as it is to humanity, shocking as it is to decency, corrupting as it is to morality, and polluting, as it does, the very foundations of human society.

The Ohio Eagle of last week referring to Mr. Sherman's remarks said:

"Mr. Sherman could say nothing against Mr. Martin, except that he voted against a Bill proposing to repeal certain enactments of the Territorial Legislature of New Mexico and Utah. IN THIS

MR. MARTIN IS FULLY ENDORSED BY HIS CONSTITUENTS."

It is possible that the Democracy of the 11th District are in favor of these infamous and inhuman barbarisms, WHITE SLAVERY AND POLYGAMY? Is it possible that in this day of civil liberty, men can be found who justify White Slavery—the buying and selling of white men and women? Is it possible that in this age of moral, social and religious advancement men can be found who justify polygamy?

Capture of Gen. Walker by the British—Walker to be Shot.

New Orleans, Sept. 17.—Two Spanish war steamers Francisco D'Assis arrived at Havana from Truxillo on the 7th inst., and reports that the British steamer "Leurus," with a transport and troops under Gen. Alvarez, proceeded to the Rio Negro, where Walker's army was encamped. The boats of the "Leurus" proceeded up the river and captured Walker, who with his seventy men were all brought to Truxillo and delivered to the Honduran authorities. They were permitted to return to the United States upon condition that they would never engage in another expedition against Central America.

Walker and Col. Radler were to be shot.

The Guatemala expedition arrived after the capture of the expedition.

White Men Hear it in Mind.

Those who consider themselves better than a negro will vote the Democratic ticket, and those who regard a negro their equal, will vote the Republican ticket.

O' Eagle, Sept. 13.

We know of nothing more awful, low and vulgar than this incessant cry of "negro equality." It is fair to presume that the persons who so greatly exercised on that theme are suffering in social position from competition with negroes. It is the most natural inference in the world that the editors of the Ohio Eagle are some in danger of changing places with their colored neighbors. It is not probable that they require additional legislation to counteract a movement in nature and society likely to elevate the negro at their expense. And we cannot say they are far wrong. For if anything is a free State, a civilized country and an enlightened age, is thoroughly debasing and degrading, it is the habitual defense of slavery on the part of one whose every interest is on the side of freedom and free labor.

But this is not all. This frivolous and truckling apology for the South is but a tribute of the great merit of Mr. Martin's Speech. In the same breath that blows forth the staff we have quoted is contained a downright insult to the North, to a large portion of Mr. Martin's constituents. Here it is:

"On the other side we behold the free and prosperous people of the North arrayed by large majorities in sectional combinations against the people of the South; and in many localities in the non-slaveholding States associations of men are formed and maintained in contemptuous defiance of the Federal authority."

This is beautiful. The "people of the North arrayed by large majorities," "in contemptuous defiance of Federal authority." This slander upon the freedom of the North; this slur upon the Republicans of the 11th district; this bending, and bowing, and scraping in disgraceful servility to the South, needs no comment except that the gentleman who originated it is now before this people for re-election—seeking to again represent the people of the north who are "arrayed in large majorities against the South, in contemptuous defiance of Federal authority."

We will give one other extract from Mr. Martin's speech. Speaking of the John Brown raid he said:

"Those marauders were doubtless encouraged by the unparliamentary teachings of the leaders of the self-styled Republican party. For that party has notoriously and unflinchingly employed every epithet of disparagement, and every form of misrepresentation of the people and institutions of slaveholding States. Its orators and press have been too successful in their efforts to incense the great mass of the party with bitter hostility toward their confederate brethren of the South. And, what is often has religious fanaticism been invoked and the sacred office of religion in a manner still, and dissonant under the wholesome restraint of existing laws."

We have here only to thank the "religious fanatics" who have "debased their sacred offices" by acting with the Republican party and opposing slavery extension, to vote for Mr. Martin.

MARTIN for White Slavery and Polygamy!—The Eagle Admits and Justifies it!

On the 1st of September Hon. John Sherman, in his speech at the Court-house in this city, said in effect that:

In the Territory of New Mexico, there was, when we acquired it, and is now, a law SANCTIONING WHITE SLAVE

RY OR SERFDOM—a law by which WHITE MEN AND WOMEN ARE BOUGHT AND SOLD LIKE HORSES

AND CATTLE—by which white men and women may be sold into SLAVERY FOR

DEBT—a law authorizing the master to INFLICT PUNISHMENT upon his

WHITE SERFS, and denying the Slave a hearing in the courts for redress of grievances.

This odious and inhuman barbarism was sought to be swept away by the Republicans of the last Congress and MARTIN VOTED AGAINST THE LAW INTRODUCED TO ACCOMPLISH THAT

END, thus indirectly sanctioning WHITE SLAVERY.

Mr. Sherman also said that:

During the last session of Congress a "Bill" was introduced by the Republicans to ABOLISH POLYGAMY IN

UTAH. MR. MARTIN VOTED AGAINST THE BILL, thus virtually

sanctioning that infamous and debasing institution, revolting as it is to humanity, shocking as it is to decency, corrupting as it is to morality, and polluting, as it does, the very foundations of human society.

The Ohio Eagle